## **Remarks General**

Applicant has rewritten all claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the rejections and define the invention's patentably over prior art.

## Claims Rejections- 35 USC s 112.

Office action stated claim 7 rejected because it raised a new issue that required further research and consideration. The current inventions probe or tail portion brings a new design that has never been mentioned or designed previously in other patents. The current invention's probe or tail portion has been revealed since the patent pending application, all documents drawings, and discussed in detail in amendments F to current amendment H. Exhibit A gives a detailed demonstration of the tools design and use.

Applicant previously included in two separate amendments exhibits displaying the actual tool with the non tapered tail. Applicant included in the patent pending application a photo of the invention the tool with the non tapered tail. Applicant

Applicant previously claimed the tools design to be non tapered but cylindrical. The applicant rewrote the claim to more specifically distinguish the tools design from other patents. No new features have been added to this design since the patent application began.

## **CONCLUSION**

Applicant has also included documents which support its novelty, unobvious -ness, and patentably over prior art. Therefore Applicant submit this application is now in condition for allowance, which action we now respectfully submit.

If for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully request the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P. s 2173.02 and s 707.07 (f) in order that the applicant can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without need for further proceedings.

Very respectfully,

Debra Belton

Applicant Pro Se

15183 Chamisal

Chesterfield, MO 63017

636-536-6974

Enclosures Exhibits A